Summary of 2012 Accomplishments for Cultural Monitoring R&D Project, New Knowledge Gained, and Future Research Directions for FY2013-2014

Helen Fairley , Brian Collins, and Amy Draut (USGS)

Annual Reporting Meeting, Phoenix, AZ
January 23, 2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

Outline

- **Part I:** Review project background, describe FY2012 accomplishments, summarize new insights gained

- **Part 2:** Describe how knowledge gained from FY2006-2012 Cultural Monitoring R& D and previous research (e.g., Draut and Rubin, 2008) is being applied to new research effort in FY2013-2014 (Project J)



FY2012 Accomplishments

- **2012 Publications:**
  - Collins and others, 2012: “Topographic Change Detection at Select Sites in Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona, 2007-2010” USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2012-5133
  - Draut, 2012: “Effects of river regulation on aeolian landscapes, Colorado River, Southwestern USA” Journal of Geophys. Research-Earth Surface, vol. 117
- **2012 Fieldwork in Glen Canyon:**
  - Collected detailed topographic measurements from 4 sites
  - Planned/completed data collection for Nov. 2012 HFE
    - Repeat photography
    - Site visits to determine high flow level in relation to sites

USGS

Hypothesis linking dam operations, sand supply, wind/weather & archaeological site preservation

Erosion of cultural features tied to reduced sediment supply, lack of floods, increased vegetation → no flood deposits; fewer open, dry sand bars → less eolian sand to cover sites & backfill gullies → progressive deflation by wind, continuous down-cutting in gullies

River-level sandbar

Sand dunes above river

Deflating Arch. site

USGS

What is the fundamental question?

<u>Not</u> whether sites and associated high elevation terraces are eroding, but

Are sites and terrace deposits eroding faster or more extensively than they would if:

A) Glen Canyon Dam did not exist; and

B) Glen Canyon Dam was operated differently

USGS

Why is this question difficult to answer?

Our ability to answer this question has been constrained historically by a lack or shortage of:

- **high quality site-specific geomorphic data**
- **reliable methods and appropriate tools for measuring past and current rates of change**
- **local measurements of key parameters (rainfall, wind conditions), topography, soil characteristics, cover**
- **pre-dam landscape/terrace condition data**
- **control data from comparable unregulated rivers**

USGS

Cultural Monitoring R&D: Goals

Phase 1: Collect & evaluate basic data

1) evaluate geomorphic setting/characteristics of sites
2) develop and test low impact tools and methods for accurately detecting and measuring deposition/ erosion

Phase 2: Initiate pilot monitoring program

1) Apply new methods at random sample of sites to characterize systemwide condition & rates of change;
2) develop geomorphic model to explore linkages re: weather, sediment supply, flows.

Lidar and Archaeology

- Archaeology relies on 3-D mapping extensively
  - Context matters!
- Archaeologists quick to adopt lidar technology for:
  - Documentation (artifacts, architecture)
  - Mapping landscapes
  - Preservation tool
- Lidar efficient at collecting 3-D data w/ minimal impact

USGS

Why did we need R&D?

- Need to develop method for repeat mapping (monitoring) change at landscape scale
  - Rapidly evolving technology
  - Evaluate impacts
  - Evaluate errors
- Worked out “bugs” with new weather station technology
- Explore other tools & techniques (RTK GPS, thalweg surveys)

USGS

Cultural Monitoring R&D Project History

- August 2005: AMWG approved new direction for Cultural Resources Monitoring Program –multiphase project
  - 2-3 years R&D on tools/methods, followed by pilot program
- 2006-2007: Phase 1 started. GCMRC/USU/NPS collaborated.
  - develop baseline geomorphic data for 232 sites
  - initiate research on tools/methods for monitoring (lidar surveys)
  - Install weather monitoring stations near 9 sites
- 2008-2009: Phase 1 field work stopped (4 publications)
- 2010: Phase 1 fieldwork resumed (3 publications)
- 2011: Phase 2 initiated, then terminated (1 publication)
- **2012:** Glen Canyon work initiated (2 publications)

USGS


114°
113°
112°
37°
36°
UT
CO
NV
CA
NM
AZ
MEXICO
Area of Detail
Grand Canyon National Park
Colorado River
Kanab Creek
Havasu Creek
Paria River
Glen Canyon Dam
Lees Ferry
Lake Powell
Lake Mead
Little Colorado River
Diamond Creek
AZ:C:05:0031
AZ:C:13:0006
AZ:C:13:0009
AZ:C:13:0321
AZ:C:13:0099
AZ:C:13:0334
AZ:C:13:0336
AZ:B:10:0225
Phantom Ranch
AZ:C:13:0346
AZ:C:13:0348
(AZ:G:03:0041)
(AZ:G:03:0002)
AZ:G:03:0072
0
25
50
75
100
KILOMETERS
N

Lidar Survey R&D Component

- **2006-2007**
  - Assess whether terrestrial lidar can be used for change detection in Grand Canyon
- **2010-2011**
  - Determine if cm-scale terrestrial lidar change detection is possible; error estimates
- **2012-2013**
  - Synthesize existing body of work (geomorph, weather, change detection data)
- **2013-2015 (Project J)**
  - Link site-scale measurements to landscape (use models to determine if current conditions match predicted conditions). Do site-specific changes vary systematically with local sediment supply?



USGS

Knowledge Gained re: Use of T-Lidar for Monitoring Topographic Changes

- 2006-2007
  - Terrestrial lidar has low impact; can be used effectively in Grand Canyon for monitoring topographic changes at archaeological sites

(USGS OFR 2008-1384)

- 2010-2012
  - Terrestrial lidar has cm-scale monitoring capability (vertical error <3 cm)
  - Archaeological sites are dynamic; more erosion than deposition, but both occur

(USGS SIR 2009-5116)

(USGS SIR 2012-5133)

- 2013 (in progress)
  - Weather data is being used to develop empirical thresholds for change
  - Numerical modeling underway; initial results show promise

(JGR-Earth Surf., in prep.)

USGS

Data Limitations

- **Phase 1 data collected for purpose of developing monitoring tools & protocols**
  - Test → evaluate → refine method
- **Current data NOT suitable for demonstrating trends**
  - Sites not random; not representative of system
  - Data collection dates disjointed (2006, 2007, 2010)
  - Existing data (2-5 data points from 13 non-random sites) can not be used to evaluate specific trends
  - Reliable trend data would require a long-term monitoring program

USGS

Knowledge Gained from Phase I Data (topographic change detection data)

- Overall pattern at surveyed sites is erosive
- Erosion occurs primarily due to gullying and aeolian deflation; some human influence documented
- Gullying can be acute and deep; in one instance, severe gullying at a previously un-gullied location
- Aeolian deposition measurable with lidar surveys; deposition appears to limit/reduce gullying
- Aeolian erosion-induced changes (deflation) affect larger areas of the landscape than gullies

USGS

AZ C:13:0006



USGS

AZ:C:13:0006: May 2006 – Sept 2007





Collins and others, 2009

(A) May 2006 – (B) September 2007

AZ:C:13:0006 Site Change, 2007-2010

- Aeolian deposition near existing gully (G2) in 2006-07 limited gully erosion
- Gullying occurred outside depositional area (G1 and G3) during same period
- In 2010, additional erosion occurred; potential for further instability here.





Collins and others, 2012

AZ C:13:0336 – Palisades Area

- **Alluvial terrace overlain by dune sands; cut by several gullies.**
- **Gully infilling document at check dam during 2006-2007**



Collins and others, 2009



May 2006 – September 2007

USGS

AZ C:13:0336 – Palisades Area

- Gully erosion (>8 cm) from 2007-2010: erosion affected upper gully in 2010
- Main geomorphic process is overland flow; possibly following a human trail





Implication is that check dam may be locally effective, but gullying continues

May 2007 – April 2010

USGS

Collins and others, 2012

AZ C:13:0099 – Palisades Area

- Alluvial terrace overlain by dune sands and cut by several gullies.
- Gully sidewall and sand dune erosion and gully bottom infilling, 2006-2007.



Collins and others, 2009



May 2006 – Sept. 2007

AZ C:13:0099 – Palisades Area



Major erosion/ deposition during 2007-2010

2008 excavations primary source of change

Additional gully widening between 2007-2010

Sept. 2007 – April 2010

Collins and others, 2012

AZ C:13:0099

April-Sept. 2010

Minimal Change Detected



Collins and others, 2012

AZ B:10:0025 – Middle Granite Gorge





September 2007 – September 2010

Collins and others, 2012

Site change (AZ:B:10:0225)

- Massive gully erosion in 2007-2010 (120 m^3 sediment removed, averaging 52 cm deep)
- Additional aeolian infilling following this period (11 m^3)
- Potential for overall site lowering?
- Potential for additional aeolian infilling?



Collins and others, 2012



Measured Topographic Changes Sept. 2007 – Sept. 2010

Site number (Sept. 2007- 2010 monitoring period)	Area w/ measured erosion (m^2)	Area w/ measured deposition (m^2)	Total area modeled w/ change (percent)	Average (max.) height of erosion (cm)	Average (max.) height of deposition (cm)	Approx. volume of erosion (-) (m^3)	Approx. volume of depositio n (+) (m^3)
AZ:C:13:0006	27.0	8.8	2.8	15 (33)	9 (22)	-3.3	+0.8
AZ:C:13:0336	39.1	2.2	2.9	7 (27)	7 (15)	-3.6	+0.2
AZ:C:13:0099	103.0	22.5	19.6	12 (63)	12 (59)	-17.3	+2.8
AZ:C:13:0099 playa	3.6	0.4	0.1	7 (13)	6 (7)	-0.2	+0.02
AZ:C:13:0348 and AZ:C:13:0346	85.3	21.2	3.5	9 (28)	7 (13)	-8.6	+1.3
AZ:B:10:0225	254.2	81.3	28.7	22 (160)	13 (55)	-120.3	+11.2
AZ:G:03:0072 US	92.1	50.8	11.8	11 (52)	16 (60)	-13.8	+6.4
AZ:G:03:0072 DS	0	0	0	0 (0)	0 (0)	0	0

USGS

Collins and others, 2012

Volumetric Change : 2007-2010



Preliminary data, subject to revision. Do not cite.

Volumetric Change : Apr-Sept 2010



Preliminary data, subject to revision. Do not cite.

Measured Topographic Changes April 2010 – September 2010

Site number (April-Sept. 2010 monitoring period)	Area w/ measured erosion (m^2)	Area w/ measured deposition (m^2)	Total site area modeled w/change (percent)	Average (max.) height of erosion (cm)	Average (max.) height of deposition (cm)	Approx. volume of erosion (-) (m^3)	Approx. volume of deposition (+) (m^3)
AZ:C:05:0031	134.9	0	5.4	4 (30)	0 (0)	-5.7	0
AZ:C:13:0006	2.2	0	0.2	6 (16)	0 (0)	-0.1	0
AZ:C:13:0336	16.5	1.5	1.3	3 (9)	3 (5)	-0.6	+0.1
AZ:C:13:0099	0.4	2.3	0.4	4 (6)	5 (9)	-0.02	+0.1
AZ:C:13:0099 playa	0.02	0	0.001	5 (6)	0 (0)	-0.001	0
AZ:C:13:0321	13.9	0	10.0	4 (14)	0 (0)	-0.6	0

Collins and others, 2012

USGS

Phase I included R&D re: Weather (Tools & Methods; local variability)



2007-2008:
Resolved technical issues
2008-2010:
Annual Data Reports
2011-2012:
Additional analysis

USGS

Preliminary data, subject to revision. Do not cite.

Development of empirical thresholds

- Combine weather and change detection data
- Develop plot of observed change with respective rainfall events
- This establishes background climatic event thresholds for arch. site change
- Thresholds are required to put potential dam effects in perspective



(Example graph for illustration purposes)

USGS

Next Steps re: Cultural R & D project

- Analyze measured change in relation to documented weather events (ongoing)
- Construct numerical geomorphological model using available data (lidar/ topographic, weather, soil infiltration data) (Ongoing)
- Use empirical data to calibrate model; evaluate model predictions relative to current conditions (ongoing)



Note: Direction of arrow indicates that a change in that direction (increase or decrease) of the property or process may shift site stability. Double arrows indicate a threshold-type change where small changes may drive the state in one direction, but larger changes in the indicated direction may drive the site towards the other state

USGS

FY2012 Work in Glen Canyon

FY2012 Glen Canyon Work Summary

- **September 17-22, 2012**
  - **Collected topographic surveys from 4 sites, plus surrounding terrain**
  - **Initiated mapping of geomorphic setting**

- **November 2012**
  - **Stationary cameras collected repeat photographs of -9 Mile cut bank during HFE**
  - **Documented 42,300 cfs shoreline (photos, survey)**

USGS

Nine Mile Draw Site

Pederson and others, 2011



Pederson and others, 2011

USGS



1 m

Pederson and others, 2011

Sept 17-21, 2012

Baseline Topographic Data Collected for 4 Glen Canyon Sites & Surrounding Area

USGS

AZ C:2:75

Level of inundation
by 2012 HFE

November 20, 2012

November 30, 2012

November 30, 2012
Ferry Swale
Campsite

November 30, 2012

Stationary Cameras (1996 HFE)

Before

After



During

USGS

Questions?